WILLIAM J. ARMSTRONG

and

JANE ARMSTRONG, his wife

Plaintiffs

IN THE

vs.

:

CIRCUIT COURT

MAYOR and TOWN COUNCIL

OF THE TOWN OF NEW MARKET, et al :

FOR FREDERICK COUNTY,

Defendants

MARYLAND

and

EQUITY NO. 26,693

G. ROSS BRINKLEY

and

JEAN T. BRINKLEY, his wife

Intervenors

PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM COMMENTING ON DECISIONS RELIED ON BY INTERVENORS

1. Intervenors cite North Beach v Land and Improvement Co. 172 Md. 101; 191 A 71 as authority for the proposition that an offer of dedication to public use may be accepted by public use as well as by affirmative action of a public body.

Plaintiffs agree with this proposition and have set it forth in their Memorandum.

However, it should be noted from this case that public accept ance must be in accordance with the terms of the offer, i.e. for the full width and length of the highway. And where such width is not specified such acceptance could not be inferred. So that establishment of the highways as public highways did not occur until a revised plat was recorded and the dedication of officially accepted by the municipality.

This decision in no way conflicts with Plaintiffs' position in the instant case which is that at best the Hall dedication of highways of specified widths was only accepted in part by public use of a small portion of such width

118